## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 1: Has the company previously petitioned the FCC or the TRA requesting an extension of time, or a modification of the FCC's rules regarding number portability? If so, a copy of each company's filings should be provided with the response.

Answer:

No.

#### Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 2: Identify, by company, the switch designation(s), and exchange(s), for which the petition of suspension of the FCC's rules is being sought.

Answer:

Ardmore - ARMRALXADASO, Minor Hill - MNHLTNXARS5

McBurg - MCBGTNXARS5

#### Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 3: Identify and describe the specific equipment, software, programming or other technical issues that make number portability technically infeasible for each company.

**Answer:** 

Ardmore's switch (Nortel DMS-10) would have to be upgraded at an estimated cost of \$100,000 00 We do not have the itemized costs yet

## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 4: For each piece of equipment and/or software item that is required, identify the costs to each company to purchase, install and test each item necessary for the implementation of local number portability capability.

Answer:

See response #3

## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 5: Identify the costs of each company, if any, of any contract(s) that must be entered into to participate in number portability. (Any costs or contract(s) considered required should not include interconnection agreements.)

Answer:

Unknown at the present time.

## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 6: Identify any administrative function(s) change(s), internal and external to the company, and the costs incurred to implement the change(s). (Administrative changes would include additional personnel, office equipment and etc.)

**Answer:** Unknown at the present time.

## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 7: Identify each company's total cost to implement local number portability.

Answer:

Ardmore's total cost is not known at the present time but is estimated to be

between \$100,000 00 and \$200,000.00.

## Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 8: Provide the number of access lines each company has in service.

**Answer:** Ardmore has 2,997 access lines.

Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 9: Identify, by company, if the FCC authorized local number portability charge, as a regular monthly charge, is being imposed? If a LNP charge is being imposed by any company, how much is the monthly charge and when did the charge begin to show on customer's billing invoices for each company?

**Answer:** Ardmore does not impose any LNP charge

#### Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 10: Identify, by company, if there have been any previous requests from CLECs or Wireless Service Providers for number portability? Provide copies of those requests with the response.

Answer:

Ardmore has not received any LNP requests in Tennessee

# Ardmore Telephone Company, Inc. Response to Data Requests of January 14, 2004

Question 11: Identify, by company, if the company can, or does, provide remote call forwarding, or like service features, as a subscription feature available to its consumers?

Answer:

Ardmore does have remote call forwarding available for its customers